

STATE OF VERMONT
PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7670

Petition of twenty Vermont utilities and Vermont Public)
Power Supply Authority requesting authorization, pursuant)
to 30 V.S.A. Section 248, for the purchase of shares of 218)
MW to 225 MW of electricity of H.Q. Energy Services)
(U.S.) Inc., commencing November 1, 2010, and)
continuing through 2038, issuance of findings that such)
purchases are entitled to rate recovery assurance, and)
requesting certain approvals under 30 V.S.A. Section 108)

Order entered: 9/15/2010

PROCEDURAL ORDER REGARDING PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT

Central Vermont Public Service Corporation, Green Mountain Power Corporation, Vermont Public Power Supply Authority, Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc., Vermont Marble Power Division of Omya, Inc., the City of Burlington Electric Department, the Town of Stowe Electric Department, Washington Electric Cooperative, Inc., Barton Village, Inc. Electric Department, the Village of Enosburg Falls Water & Light Department, the Town of Hardwick Electric Department, the Village of Hyde Park Electric Department, the Village of Jacksonville Electric Company, the Village of Johnson Water & Light Department, the Village of Ludlow Electric Light Department, the Village of Lyndonville Electric Department, the Village of Morrisville Water & Light Department, the Village of Northfield Electric Department, the Village of Orleans Electric Department, the Town of Readsboro Electric Light Department, and Swanton Village, Inc. Electric Department (referred to collectively herein as the "Petitioners") have information that they allege is of a confidential and proprietary nature and that they have been, or may be, asked to provide to the Public Service Board ("Board"), the Vermont Department of Public Service ("Department") and certain other parties, the names of which are set forth on the signature pages and approved schedules to the Protective Agreement, as defined

below (Petitioners, the Department and each other party will be sometimes referenced herein, where the context requires, as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties"). To preserve the confidentiality of that information while facilitating disclosure of information in this proceeding, the Parties have entered into a Protective Agreement, dated as of August 17, 2010, attached hereto (the "Protective Agreement"). Schedule I of the Protective Agreement, as may be amended in accordance with the terms of the Protective Agreement, describes information that relates to Power Purchase & Sales Agreement pricing terms or that Petitioners allege may result in financial or competitive harm to the Petitioners if disclosed on the public record (which information is stated as Schedule I, as amended from time to time, and is herein referenced as the "Allegedly Confidential Information").

Pursuant to that Protective Agreement and to preserve the confidentiality of Allegedly Confidential Information, Petitioners, the Department and such other parties that have executed the Protective Agreement request that the Board issue a Protective Order implementing the terms and procedures of the Protective Agreement.

The Board finds good cause to order implementation of the Protective Agreement and finds that such Agreement is appropriate, useful and reasonable, but with the following clarification. Today's Protective Order shall govern only the protection of documents and information provided in discovery. If a Party wishes to keep confidential any material that is proffered for inclusion in the evidentiary record, that Party must present a properly supported motion for protection of that material.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Allegedly Confidential Information provided by Petitioners pursuant to the Protective Agreement shall be treated in this proceeding as follows:

1. The Protective Agreement, filed with the Board on August 17, 2010, and attached hereto, is approved and adopted as part of this Order.
2. For each document or information response that a Petitioner wishes to treat as Allegedly Confidential Information, each such Petitioner must submit a detailed, document-specific (or information-specific) averment of the basis for such treatment, which addresses the following, to the extent that a Petitioner relies upon that factor as the basis for an assertion of

confidentiality:

- a. Identification of the specific document or information for which confidential treatment is sought;
- b. Explanation of the degree to which the document or information contains a trade secret or other commercially sensitive information, or is privileged;
- c. For documents and information alleged to contain trade secrets or other commercially sensitive information,
 - i. the extent the information is known outside the Petitioner,
 - ii. the extent the information is known by employees and independent contractors,
 - iii. the measures taken to guard secrecy,
 - iv. the value of the information to the Petitioner and competitors,
 - v. the amount of effort or money used to develop the information,
 - vi. the ease or difficulty of others in acquiring or duplicating the information, and
 - vii. an explanation of how disclosure of the information could result in cognizable harm sufficient to warrant a protective order;
- d. Justification of the period during which Petitioner asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure;
- e. Explanation of whether partial disclosure, or disclosure of redacted versions, can adequately protect the Allegedly Confidential Information; and
- f. Any other information that the party seeking confidential treatment believes may be useful in assessing whether the document or information should remain confidential.

3. If a party wishes to prefile any testimony or exhibits that include or otherwise disclose Allegedly Confidential Information, that party must give five business days' advance notice to counsel for the party or other person that designated the information as Allegedly Confidential. Any party may move the Board for an order that the testimony or exhibits be filed under seal or under other conditions to prevent unnecessary disclosure.

a. If such motion is filed within the five business days' advance notice period, the proponent of the testimony and exhibits shall place them in a sealed record by filing such documents in sealed envelopes or other appropriate sealed containers on which shall be endorsed the caption and docket number of the proceeding, the nature of the contents (exhibit, report, etc.) and a statement that it shall not be opened or released from custody of the Clerk of the Board except by order of the Board or Hearing Officer. Notwithstanding such a statement, the members of the Board, and any employee or consultant specifically authorized by the Board to assist the Board in this proceeding and any Hearing Officer appointed to this Docket, may have access to such sealed Allegedly Confidential Information, but shall not disclose the contents of any such sealed information to any person who has not agreed to be bound by the Protective Agreement. The Board will then determine whether the proffered evidence should continue to be treated as confidential information and, if so, what protection, if any, may be afforded to such information.

b. If no such motion is filed by the end of the five business days' advance notice period, the testimony and exhibits may be filed as a document available for public access.

4. At any hearing or conference in this proceeding, no witness may be questioned with respect to any Allegedly Confidential Information unless examining counsel has provided advance notice to counsel for any party or other person that designated the information as allegedly confidential. To the extent possible, such notice shall be given prior to the commencement of the hearing or conference. Any party may move the Board for an order that the testimony be received in camera or under other conditions to prevent unnecessary disclosure. If such motion is made, the Board will then determine whether the testimony should be received in camera or subject to other protection.

5. Upon receipt of an executed Protective Agreement signature form, that is, either Schedule IIa or IIb to the Protective Agreement, counsel for each Petitioner shall forward one copy of the form to the Clerk of the Board.

6. All documents filed with the Board that are subject to the Protective Agreement as Allegedly Confidential Information and any documents that discuss or reveal documents that constitute Allegedly Confidential Information shall be placed in a sealed record by filing such information in sealed envelopes or other appropriate sealed containers on which shall be endorsed the caption and docket number of the proceeding, the nature of the contents (discovery response, report, etc.) and a statement that it shall not be opened or released from custody of the Clerk of the Board except by order of the Board. Notwithstanding such a statement, the members of the Board, and any employee or consultant specifically authorized by the Board to assist the Board in this proceeding and any Hearing Officer appointed to this Docket, may have access to such sealed Allegedly Confidential Information, but shall not disclose the contents of any such sealed information to any person who has not agreed to be bound by the Protective Agreement.

7. The Board will retain jurisdiction to make such amendments, modifications and additions to this Order as it may, from time to time, deem appropriate, including any such amendments, modifications or additions resulting from a motion made pursuant to the Protective Agreement. Any party or other person may apply to the Board for an amendment, modification or addition of this Order.

8. The Board cautions the parties that there must be a good-faith basis for all claims of confidentiality. Claims without such a basis may result in sanctions against the party making the unfounded claim. A party's public disclosure of information that it has designated as Allegedly Confidential may indicate that the party lacked a good-faith basis for that designation.

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Montpelier, Vermont, this 15th day of September, 2010.

<u>s/ James Volz</u>)	
)	PUBLIC SERVICE
)	
<u>s/ David C. Coen</u>)	BOARD
)	
)	OF VERMONT
<u>s/ John D. Burke</u>)	

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FILED: September 15, 2010

ATTEST: s/ Susan M. Hudson
Clerk of the Board

NOTICE TO READERS: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: psb.clerk@state.vt.us)